

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

33.	COMMISSIONER FOR FATER.
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/604,874	08/22/2003	Eric T. Kool	12665.0025.NPUS01	1873
23369	7590 12/15/2006		EXAM	NER
HOWREY L	<del></del>	LEWIS, PATRICK T		
C/O IP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT 2941 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CH, VA 22042-7195		1623	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A a Basilia di a	LAN				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/604,874	KOOL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Patrick T. Lewis	1623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 September 2006</u> .						
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 4.5.13.14.16.18-21.29.32-40 and 42 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
	Claim(s) 49 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3.6-12.15.17.22-28.30.31.41.43 and	<u>/ 46-48</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) 44 and 45 is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r					
	The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 2003 is/are:		objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies no	it received.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/SE/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11032003</u> 08222003. 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/604,874

Art Unit: 1623

Page 2

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of a fluorescent nucleoside analog comprising perylene deoxyriboside in alpha isomer (Species Election) in the reply filed on June 26, 2006 (September 8, 2006) is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 4-5, 13-14, 16, 18-21, 29, 32-40 and 42 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on June 26, 2006.

### Claim Objections

3. Claims 44 and 45 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 44 and 45 have not been further treated on the merits.

## Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140

Art Unit: 1623

F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-3, 6-12, 15, 28, 30-31, 41, 43 and 46-48 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 6, 8, 10-20, 28, 33-38 and 46 of U.S. Patent No. 6,479,650. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

The examined claims are either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are generic to all that is recited in claims 1-3, 6, 8, 10-20, 28, 33-38 and 46 of U.S. Patent No. 6,479,650. That is, claims 1-3, 6, 8, 10-20, 28, 33-38 and 46 of U.S. Patent No. 6,479,650 fall entirely within the scope of claims 1-3, 6-12, 15, 28, 30-31, 41, 43 and 46-48 or, in other words, claims 1-3, 6-12, 15, 28, 30-31, 41, 43 and 46-48 are anticipated by claims 1-3, 6, 8, 10-20, 28, 33-38 and 46 of U.S. Patent No. 6,479,650.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,874 Page 4

Art Unit: 1623

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1-3, 6-12, 15, 17, 22-28, 30-31, 41, 43 and 46-48 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kool US 6,479,650 (Kool).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Kool teaches a perylene-derivatized nucleoside such as a perylene-2-deoxyriboside (column 8, line 65 to column 9, line 13). The glycosidic coupling of a cyclic compound to a sugar coupling results in a mixture of alpha and beta anomers in isolated yields of between about 54-81% (column 14, lines 34-63). Alpha-anomeric C-nucleosides are the primary reaction products. Kool also provides for oligonucleotide analogs. DNA and RNA sequences comprising one or more nucleoside analogs are useful for detecting target nucleic acids (column 17, lines 51-62). Desirable properties

Art Unit: 1623

of fluorophores include intense fluorescence and choices of multiple wavelengths of emission.

### Conclusion

8. Claims 1-49 are pending. Claims 4-5, 13-14, 16, 18-21, 29, 32-40 and 42 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species. Claims 44 and 45 are objected to. Claims 1-3, 6-12, 15, 17, 22-28, 30-31, 41, 43 and 46-48 are rejected. Claim 49 is allowed.

#### Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick T. Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-0655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10 am to 3 pm (Maxi Flex).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia A. Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1623

ptl